

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Crl.Misc. No.18713-M of 2007

Date of Decision:- 16.8.2007

Rakesh Kumar

....Petitioner(s)

In person.

vs.

State of Haryana & ors.

....Respondent(s)

through

Mr.R.D.Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

CORAM:-HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.

- 1) Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
- 2) To be referred to the Reporters or not?
- 3) Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

SURYA KANT, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner who is present in person, seeks a direction to the official respondents, namely, respondents No.1 to 3 to provide adequate security to him as well as to his wife and children as according to the petitioner he apprehends threat to their life and liberty at the hands of respondents No.4 to 8.

Some of the private respondents including respondent No.4, who are present in person, have been jointly heard.

There appears to be no dispute that brother of the petitioner, namely, Jagan Nath unfortunately died in an accident 25.12.2004 leaving behind his wife and one daughter. The petitioner is now married to the wife of his deceased brother and they are living together happily as husband and wife. A male child has also been born out of the said wedlock. It further appears that initially the petitioner had some dispute with regard to partition

of the house and agricultural land with one of his brothers and his mother, but now with the intervention of the respectables, the said dispute also appears to have been resolved and the petitioner has got the possession of the land falling to his share as well as to the share of his deceased brother. Similarly, he is having a separate house also.

The petitioner, however, carries certain vague apprehensions in mind against the private respondents especially respondent No.4, who is son of his uncle. The said respondent No.4 is present in Court and undertakes that no interference whatsoever shall be caused by him in the peaceful life of the petitioner. The SHO Police Station, Chhappar, District Yamuna Nagar is also present in Court. He states that there has never been any threat to the life and liberty of the petitioner and his family. He, however, assures that in the event of any such threat perception, all remedial measures to ensure that no harm is caused to them, shall be taken by them.

In view of the fair stand taken on behalf of the respondents, I am satisfied that the petitioner's grievance stands substantially redressed and no further directions are required to be issued in this petition which is accordingly disposed of.

August 16, 2007
poonam

(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE